

Nonlocal operators and distribution amplitudes of definite twist, WW–relations, BC–sum rules and power corrections for hard QCD processes*

Bodo Geyer^a, Markus Lazar^a and Dieter Robaschik^b

^aCenter for Theoretical Studies and Institute of Theoretical Physics, Leipzig University, Augustusplatz 10, D-04109 Leipzig, Germany

^bFaculty 1, Brandenburg Technical University, Universitätsplatz 3 - 4, D-03044 Cottbus, Germany

Scattering amplitudes of light-cone dominated hadronic processes are usually represented by the convolution of a hard (process-dependent) partonic scattering amplitude with appropriate soft (process-independent) distribution amplitudes (DA). Different phenomenological DA's, as parton distributions (PD) in deep inelastic scattering and in Drell-Yan processes, hadronic wave functions as well as generalized parton distributions (GPD), like double distributions (DD), are given as (non-forward) matrix elements of some nonlocal QCD light-cone operators. Thereby, one and the same operator may be related to different hadronic processes. Moreover, these operators by its twist decomposition also contribute to different DA's. Therefore, it is necessary to disentangle these various twist contributions.

Conventionally, the notion of *dynamical* twist (t) is taken [1] by counting powers of the momentum transfer, Q^{2-t} , thereby using the (quark) equations of motion in the light-cone formulation. Being defined only for *matrix elements* of operators it is easily determined experimentally by simple counting rules. However, this phenomenological notion is *not* Lorentz invariant and, for general non-forward matrix elements, it is *not uniquely defined* resulting in some arbitrariness in the definition of GPD's. Furthermore, it *cannot distinguish* uniquely between radiative and kinematical resp. mass corrections of scattering amplitudes and, beyond leading order, the higher dynamical twists t are *mismatched* with geometric twists

$\tau_i \leq t$ to be considered now.

Recently, we used a group theoretic procedure [2] to decompose nonlocal QCD operators into a series of operators of definite *geometric* twist $\tau = \text{dimension } d - \text{spin } j$. For the 'centred' tensor operators, $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma(\kappa x, -\kappa x) = \bar{\psi}(\kappa x)\Gamma U(\kappa x, -\kappa x)\psi(-\kappa x)$, the consecutive steps of that twist decomposition are:

- (1) *Taylor expansion* of the nonlocal tensor operator into an infinite tower of *local* tensor operators $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma\mu_1\dots\mu_n}$ having rank $[\Gamma] + n$ and dimension d ;
- (2) *Decomposition* of these local operators into *irreducible* ones – with respect to the Lorentz group – whose symmetry class is characterized by Young patterns $[m] = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r)$ of r rows;
- (3) *Resummation* of the irreducible local tensor operators belonging to the same symmetry class $[m]$ and having the same twist τ to a nonlocal tensor operator with definite twist.

This gives the *infinite off-cone* decomposition:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{O}_\Gamma(\kappa x, -\kappa x) &= \sum_{\tau \geq \tau_{\min}} c_\Gamma^{(\tau)\Gamma'}(x) \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma'}^{(\tau)}(\kappa x, -\kappa x), \\ \mathcal{O}_\Gamma^{(\tau)}(\kappa x, -\kappa x) &= \mathcal{P}_\Gamma^{(\tau)\Gamma'}(x, \partial) \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma'}^{(\tau)}(\kappa x, -\kappa x), \\ (\mathcal{P}^{(\tau)} \times \mathcal{P}^{(\tau')})_\Gamma^{\Gamma'} &= \delta^{\tau\tau'} \mathcal{P}_\Gamma^{(\tau)\Gamma'},\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma\Gamma'}^{(\tau)}$ are well-defined projection operators, and $c_\Gamma^{(\tau)\Gamma'}(x)$ are coefficient functions depending on (powers of) x^2 and, according to the tensor structure Γ , also on x_μ and/or ∂_μ .

- (4) *Projection* onto the light-cone, $x \rightarrow \tilde{x}$ with $\tilde{x}^2 = 0$, results in a *finite on-cone* decomposition because then only a finite number of the coefficient functions survive.

*Contribution to Int. LC Workshop "Light-cone Physics: Particles and Strings", Trento, Sept. 3 – 11, 2001

The scalar operator $\bar{\psi}(\kappa x)x\gamma\psi(-\kappa x)$, for example, has the following infinite decomposition:

$$O(\kappa x, -\kappa x) = O^{\text{tw } 2}(\kappa x, -\kappa x) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j (\kappa x)^{2j}}{4^j j! (j-1)!} \\ \times \int_0^1 dt t (1-t)^{j-1} O^{\text{tw}(2+2j)}(\kappa t x, -\kappa t x),$$

with the harmonic operators of twist $\tau = 2 + 2j$:

$$O^{\text{tw}(2+2j)}(x, -x) = \int dq (\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi)(q) (-q^2)^j \left\{ [x_\mu \right. \\ \times (2 + q\partial_q) - \frac{1}{2} i q_\mu x^2] (3 + q\partial_q) \mathcal{H}_2((qx)^2 - q^2 x^2) \\ \left. - 2j \frac{i q_\mu}{q^2} (1 + q\partial_q) \mathcal{H}_1((qx)^2 - q^2 x^2) \right\} e^{iqx/2},$$

where $\mathcal{H}_\nu(z) = \sqrt{\pi} (\sqrt{z})^{1/2-\nu} J_{\nu-1/2}(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{z})$.

In contrast to dynamical twist the geometric twist is a Lorentz invariant *notion for operators* and, being a ‘good’ quantum number, is conserved under renormalization. The matrix elements of $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma^{(\tau)}(\kappa x, -\kappa x)$ define general (multi-variable) DA’s of definite twist τ :

- forward matrix elements on the light-cone are related to new *twist- τ parton distributions* [3],
- non-forward off-cone matrix elements lead to new *generalized twist- τ distribution amplitudes* and their *power resp. mass corrections* [4],
- new *twist- τ meson distribution amplitudes* are obtained as special cases [5,4].

The price for the field theoretical advantages of the new GPD’s is that they are experimentally not as easily accessible as the conventional ones are. However, both kinds of GPD’s are *uniquely related* and, from these relations, without use of equations of motion, one obtains [3]

- Wandzura-Wilczek-like integral relations, and
 - Burkhardt-Cottingham-like sum rules,
- for the conventional (quark) distributions of dynamical twist; this generalizes also to multi-variable GPD’s and meson DA’s, for the latter, see, [5].

Before introducing double distributions of definite twist let us consider the most general parametrization of non-forward matrix elements:

$$\langle P_2, S_2 | \mathcal{O}_\Gamma(\kappa x, -\kappa x) | P_1, S_1 \rangle \\ = \mathcal{K}_\Gamma^a(\mathcal{P}) \int D\mathcal{Z} e^{i\kappa(x\mathcal{P})\mathcal{Z}} f_a(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{P}_i \mathcal{P}_j, x^2; \mu^2),$$

where the following notations have been used:

$\mathcal{P} = \{P_+, P_-\}$, $\mathcal{Z} = \{z_+, z_-\}$ with $P_\pm = P_2 \pm P_1$, $z_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(z_2 \pm z_1)$, $D\mathcal{Z} = dz_1 dz_2 \theta(1 - z_1) \theta(z_1 + 1) \theta(1 - z_2) \theta(z_2 + 1)$. The support restriction, $-1 \leq z_i \leq 1$, occurs since the matrix elements are *entire analytic functions* r.w.t. (xP_i) .

$\mathcal{K}_\Gamma^a(\mathcal{P})$ are *linear independent spin structures* being defined by the help of (free) hadron wave functions, e.g., for virtual Compton scattering the Dirac and Pauli structures, $\bar{u}(P_2, S_2) \gamma_\mu u(P_1, S_1)$ and $\bar{u}(P_2, S_2) \sigma_{\mu\nu} P_-^\nu u(P_1, S_1)/M$, respectively. $f_a(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{P}_i \mathcal{P}_j, x^2; \mu^2)$ are associated (renormalized) *two-variable distribution amplitudes*.

Correspondingly, non-forward matrix elements of operators with definite twist τ read:

$$\langle P_2, S_2 | \mathcal{O}_\Gamma^{(\tau)}(\kappa x, -\kappa x) | P_1, S_1 \rangle \\ = \mathcal{K}_\Gamma^a(\mathcal{P}) \int D\mathcal{Z} e^{i\kappa(x\mathcal{P})\mathcal{Z}} f_a^{(\tau)}(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{P}_i \mathcal{P}_j, x^2; \mu^2) \\ = \mathcal{P}_\Gamma^{(\tau)\Gamma'}(x, \partial_x) \mathcal{K}_{\Gamma'}^a(\mathcal{P}) \int D\mathcal{Z} e^{i\kappa(x\mathcal{P})\mathcal{Z}} f_a^{(\tau)}(\mathcal{Z}; \mu^2),$$

where, in the first equality, using the twist decomposition of the operators the corresponding twist- τ DA’s have been introduced and, in the second equality, using the Fourier representation, $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma^{(\tau)}(\kappa x, -\kappa x) = \mathcal{P}_\Gamma^{(\tau)\Gamma'}(x, \partial_x) \int d^4 q e^{i\kappa x q} \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma'}(q)$, and observing that the twist projections act on the exponentials only the *double distributions* $f_a^{(\tau)}(\mathcal{Z}; \mu^2)$ of definite twist τ not suffering from any power corrections are introduced.

Therefore, the power corrections of the DA’s $f_a^{(\tau)}(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{P}_i \mathcal{P}_j, x^2; \mu^2)$ are related to the DD’s $f_a^{(\tau)}(\mathcal{Z}; \mu^2)$ according to:

$$f_a^{(\tau)}(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{P}_i \mathcal{P}_j, x^2; \mu^2) = (\mathcal{K}^{-1}(\mathcal{P}))_a^{\Gamma'} \\ \times \left(e^{-i\kappa(x\mathcal{P})\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{P}_\Gamma^{(\tau)\Gamma'} e^{i\kappa(x\mathcal{P})\mathcal{Z}} \right) \mathcal{K}_{\Gamma'}^{a'}(\mathcal{P}) f_{a'}^{(\tau)}(\mathcal{Z}; \mu^2) \\ \equiv \mathcal{F}_a^{(\tau)a'}((x\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Z}), x^2(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Z})^2) f_{a'}^{(\tau)}(\mathcal{Z}; \mu^2),$$

where $\mathcal{F}_a^{(\tau)a'}$ is uniquely related to the twist decomposition of the operators and contains any information about the power corrections of the twist- τ DD’s. Since they are independent of x^2 their renormalization properties are already determined by the *light-cone operators* of definite twist. For the explicit structure of these opera-

tors, see, Ref. [2,3], and for the non-forward matrix elements, see, Ref. [4].

The (quark) distributions $\hat{f}_a^{(\tau)}(z; \mu^2)$ of definite twist τ are obtained by taking forward matrix elements ($P_1 = P_2 = P, S_1 = S_2 = S$) and restricting to the light-cone; they are obtained from the DD's according to [4]

$$\hat{f}_a^{(\tau)}(z; \mu^2) = \int dz_- f_a^{(\tau)}(z_+ = z, z_-; \mu^2).$$

Now we present the above mentioned relations between the conventional quark distributions of *dynamical* twist [1], $e, f_1, f_4, g_1, g_T = g_2 + g_1, g_3, h_1, h_L$ and h_3 , and those of *geometric* twist, being denoted by $E^{(3)}, F^{(2)}, F^{(4)}, G^{(2)}, G^{(3)}, G^{(4)}, H^{(2)}, H^{(3)}$ and $H^{(4)}$, respectively (cf. Ref. [3]):

$$\begin{aligned} g_T(z) &= G^{(3)}(z) + \int_z^1 \frac{dy}{y} (G^{(2)} - G^{(3)})(y), \\ g_3(z) &= \int_z^1 \frac{dy}{y} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (G^{(2)} - 4G^{(3)} + 3G^{(4)})(y) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \ln\left(\frac{z}{y}\right) (G^{(2)} - 2G^{(3)} + G^{(4)}) \right\} - \frac{1}{2} G^{(4)}(z), \\ f_4(z) &= \frac{1}{2} F^{(4)}(z) + \frac{1}{2} \int_z^1 \frac{dy}{y} (F^{(2)} - F^{(4)})(y), \\ h_L(z) &= H^{(3)}(z) + 2z \int_z^1 \frac{dy}{y^2} (H^{(2)} - H^{(3)})(y), \\ h_3(z) &= \frac{1}{2} H^{(4)}(z) + \int_z^1 \frac{dy}{y} \left\{ (H^{(2)} - H^{(4)})(y) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{z}{y} (H^{(2)} - H^{(3)}) - \frac{1}{2} \delta\left(\frac{z}{y}\right) (H^{(3)} - H^{(4)}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For leading twist both types of PD's coincide: $g_1(z) = G^{(2)}(z)$, $f_1(z) = F^{(2)}(z)$, $e(z) = E^{(3)}(z)$, and $h_1(z) = H^{(2)}(z)$. The corresponding inverse relations are:

$$\begin{aligned} G^{(3)}(z) &= g_T(z) + \frac{1}{z} \int_z^1 dy (g_T - g_1)(y), \\ G^{(4)}(z) &= - \left\{ \frac{1}{z^2} \int_z^1 dy y \left[(6g_3 + 4g_T - g_1)(y) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \left(1 - \frac{z}{y}\right) (2g_3 + 4g_T - 3g_1)(y) \right] + 2g_3(z) \right\}, \\ F^{(4)}(z) &= 2f_4(z) + \frac{1}{z} \int_z^1 dy (2f_4 - f_1)(y), \\ H^{(3)}(z) &= h_L(z) + \frac{2}{z} \int_z^1 dy (h_L - h_1)(y) \end{aligned}$$

$$H^{(4)}(z) = 2 \left\{ h_3(z) + \frac{1}{z^2} \int_z^1 dy y \left[(2h_3 - h_L)(y) - \left(1 - \frac{z}{y}\right) (h_L - h_1)(y) \right] \right\}.$$

The *direct relations* define the decomposition of the conventional PD's into their parts of definite geometric twist. They may lead to Wandzura-Wilczek-like relations. For example, the twist-2 part of $g_2(z)$ obviously coincides with the well-known WW-relation, $g_2^{(\tau=2)}(z) \equiv g_2^{WW}(z) = -g_1(z) + \int_z^1 \frac{dy}{y} g_1(y)$, and for $g_3(z)$ one gets two WW-like relations,

$$\begin{aligned} g_3^{(\tau=2)}(z) &= \int_z^1 \frac{dy}{y} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \ln \frac{z}{y} \right) g_1(y), \\ g_3^{(\tau=3)}(z) &= -2 \int_z^1 \frac{dy}{y} \left(1 + \ln \frac{z}{y} \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(g_T(y) + \frac{1}{y} \int_y^1 du g_2(u) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Analogously for the other distributions [3]. From their lowest moments one obtains Burkhardt-Cottingham-like sum rules, e.g., for $g_3(z)$ from the first moments $n = 0, 1$ one gets [3]:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 dz g_3(z) &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 dz g_1(z), \\ \int_0^1 dz z g_3(z) &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 dz z (g_1 + 2g_2)(z), \end{aligned}$$

which may be of phenomenological advantage. The *inverse relations* show that the PD's of definite twist are uniquely determined by the conventional ones.

REFERENCES

1. R. Jaffe and X. Ji, Nucl. Phys. B 375 (1992) 527.
2. B. Geyer, M. Lazar and D. Robaschik, Nucl. Phys. B 559 (1999) 339, B. Geyer and M. Lazar, Nucl. Phys. B 581 (2000) 341.
3. B. Geyer and M. Lazar, Phys. Rev. D 63 (2001) 094003.
4. B. Geyer, M. Lazar and D. Robaschik, hep-ph/0108061; to appear: Nucl. Phys. B.
5. M. Lazar, Phys. Lett. B 497 (2001) 62; JHEP01 (2001) 029; M. Lazar and P. Ball, Phys. Lett. B 515 (2001) 131.